

Supporting a New PostgreSQL Version in Your Extension - A Citus Case Study

Naisila Puka

Software Engineer @ Microsoft





Outline of this talk

- Title keywords intro:
 - Supporting a New PostgreSQL Version in Your Extension
 A Citus Case Study
- PG release timeline adventures
- Steps on supporting a new PG version
 - Successful compilation
 - Extension logic sanity
 - PGXX New features integration

PostgreSQL major releases

- A major version every year
- New features, improvements, and bug fixes
- Following a well-defined release schedule



Postgres: Designed to be easily extensible

PG extensions:

- Add custom functionality
- Enhance database capabilities
- Optimize performance
- Overall idea: make the database more adaptable to **specific requirements or use cases**.

Extensions using PostgreSQL hooks

- Customize PG at various execution points
- Predefined entry points in the server's code where additional functionality can be inserted without modifying the core source code
- E.g. hooks at query planning, execution, transaction management



Citus: Extension leveraging PG hooks

Distributed PostgreSQL as an Extension



- Adds the ability to distribute and replicate PostgreSQL tables across a shared-nothing PostgreSQL cluster
 - Open-source repo on GitHub: https://github.com/citusdata/citus
- Citus on Azure Cosmos DB for PostgreSQL
- Citus Utility hook and Columnar Utility hook before Postgres's standard utility process
- Intercepts PostgreSQL's planner, parser and executor

Supporting a New PostgreSQL Version in Your Extension - A Citus Case Study

Questions for your extension: Is it compatible with the new PG release?

- Does the extension compile successfully?
- How's the test suite doing?
- Do new PG features just work when your extension is installed?
- Do you still want to support earlier PG versions?
- Is your extension still relevant?
- Do you need a strategy here or will a Pull Request fix everything?

Answers for Citus Pre-PG16 support

- Does the extension compile successfully? No
- How's the test suite doing? Some tests fail/crash
- Do new PG features just work when your extension is installed? Most do, some don't
- Do you still want to support earlier PG versions? Yes, 3
- Is your extension still relevant? Yeah, but need to distribute new SQL commands as well to stay coherent
- Do you need a strategy here or will a Pull Request fix everything? A STRATEGY FOR SURE

Strategy

General: Follow the PG release schedule

- **1.** Successful compilation
- 2. Extension sanity
 - Make sure everything works as before use your test suite!
- 3. PG new features integration
 - Enhance your extension with PG's newly added features/SQL changes

Follow the PG release schedule

- Postgres is open-source
- You can follow the commits going into the new release REL_XX_STABLE branch
- Build and run tests regularly to identify potential issues with new PG commits early-on
- Make use of Beta releases and release candidates

Example – PG15 Timeline (2022)

15 Beta 1 – May 19th : SQL/JSON feature

15 Beta 2 – June 30th : improvements to SQL/JSON feature

15 Beta 3 – August 11th

15 Beta 4 – September 8th : SQL/JSON feature is reverted, Citus builds are broken

15 RC 1 – September 29th : message wording change, Citus tests are broken

15 RC 2 – October 6th

15.0 – October 13th : Introduces new function, builds are ok, tests are broken

- SQL/JSON features proposed in beta1 removed as of beta4
 => We also reverted our commits for these features.
- Function name conflict ReplicationSlotName after RC2
 => renamed to ReplicationSlotNameForNodeAndOwner.



Example – PG16 Timeline (2023)

```
16 Beta 1 – May 25<sup>th</sup>
16 Beta 2 – June 29<sup>th</sup>
Merge compilation changes
```

```
16 Beta 3 – August 10<sup>th</sup>
Merge regression tests sanity changes
```

```
16 RC 1 – August 21<sup>st</sup>
16.0 – September 14<sup>th</sup>
```

PG16 compatibility: Resolve compilation issues (#7005)

naisila and onderkalaci authored on Jul 21, 2023 (Verified)

This PR provides successful compilation against PG16Beta2. It does some necessary refactoring to prepare for full support of version 16, in $\frac{\#6952}{2}$.

```
Adds PG16Beta3 support (#6952)
```

📊 naisila authored on Aug 17, 2023 (Verified)

DESCRIPTION: Adds PG16Beta3 support

This is the final commit that adds PG16 compatibility with Citus's current features.



1 - Successful compilation

Update CONFIGURE script to include PGXX

Some variables no longer exist / have been replaced, PG15 e.g.

Value node struct has been removed, replaced by separate Integer, Float, String, and BitString node types

#else

2 - Extension sanity

- Successful compilation is NOT enough.
- Should update INTERNAL LOGIC accordingly to make sure current features function properly.



Citus Planner Hook Example with PG16

```
*
 *
      Query optimizer entry point
 * To support loadable plugins that monitor or modify planner behavior,
 * we provide a hook variable that lets a plugin get control before and
 * after the standard planning process. The plugin would normally call
 * standard planner().
 * Note to plugin authors: standard planner() scribbles on its Query input,
* so you'd better copy that data structure if you want to plan more than once.
PlannedStmt *
planner(Query *parse, const char *query string, int cursorOptions,
        ParamListInfo boundParams)
   PlannedStmt *result;
   if (planner hook)
        result = (*planner hook) (parse, query string, cursorOptions, boundParams);
    else
        result = standard planner(parse, query string, cursorOptions, boundParams);
   return result;
```

Citus Technical Documentation

E C citusdata / citus	Q + - O II & []			
<> Code 💿 Issues 973 In Pull requests 92 🖓 Discussions 💿 Actions 🖽 Wiki	① Security 23 // Insights			
Image: Second strip Image: Second strip Image: Second strip Image: Second strip Image: Second strip Image: Second strip	Q Go to file			
💿 3 people Update Citus Technical Documentation about the rebalancer (#7638) 🚥 🗸	58fef24 · 4 months ago 🕚			
2704 lines (1831 loc) · 212 KB				
Preview Code Blame	Raw [] 🛃 🖉 👻 🗄			

Citus Technical Documentation

The purpose of this document is to provide comprehensive technical documentation for Citus, in particular the distributed database implementation.

Table of Contents

- Citus Concepts
- Principles
- Use of hooks
- Query planner
 - High-level design/flow:
 - Distributed Query Planning with Examples in Citus (as of Citus 12.1)
 - Logical Planner & Optimizer
 - Combine query planner
 - <u>Restriction Equivalence</u>
 - <u>Recurring Tuples</u>

planner_hook = distributed_planner

Distributed Query Planner

The distributed query planner is entered through the distributed_planner function in distributed_planner.c . This is the hook that Postgres calls instead of standard_planner.

If the input query is trivial (e.g., no joins, no subqueries/ctes, single table and single shard), we create a very simple PlannedStmt . If the query is not trivial, call standard_planner to build a PlannedStmt . For queries containing a distributed table or reference table, we then proceed with distributed planning, which overwrites the planTree in the PlannedStmt .

Distributed planning (CreateDistributedPlan) tries several different methods to plan the query:

1. Fast-path router planner, proceed if the query prunes down to a single shard of a single table

2. Router planner, proceed if the query prunes down to a single set of co-located shards

3. Modification planning, proceed if the query is a DML command and all joins are co-located

4. Recursive planning, find CTEs and subqueries that cannot be pushed down and go back to 1

5. Logical planner, constructs a multi-relational algebra tree to find a distributed execution plan

planner_hook = distributed_planner

Distributed Query Planner

The distributed query planner is entered through the distributed_planner function in distributed_planner.c . This is the hook that Postgres calls instead of standard_planner .

If the input query is trivial (e.g., no joins, no subqueries/ctes, single table and single shard), we create a very simple PlannedStmt . If the query is not trivial, call standard_planner to build a PlannedStmt . For queries containing a distributed table or reference table, we then proceed with distributed planning, which overwrites the planTree in the PlannedStmt .

Distributed planning (CreateDistributedPlan) tries several different methods to plan the query:

- 1. Fast-path router planner, proceed if the query prunes down to a single shard of a single table
- 2. Router planner, proceed if the query prunes down to a single set of co-located shards
- 3. Modification planning, proceed if the query is a DML command and all joins are co-located
- 4. Recursive planning, find CTEs and subqueries that cannot be pushed down and go back to 1
- 5. Logical planner, constructs a multi-relational algebra tree to find a distributed execution plan

Fast Path Planner skips cost estimation prior to query distribution

Fast Path Router Planner

The Fast Path Router Planner is specialized in optimizing queries that are both simple in structure and certain to touch a single shard. Importantly, it targets queries on a single shard distributed, citus local or reference tables. This does not mean the planner is restricted to trivial queries; it can handle complex SQL constructs like GROUP BY, HAVING, DISTINCT, etc., as long as these operate on a single table and involve an equality condition on the distribution key (distribution_key = x). The main SQL limitation for fast path distributed query planning is the subquery/CTE support. Those are left to the next planner: Router planner.

The aim of this planner is to avoid relying on PostgreSQL's standard_planner() for planning, which performs unnecessary computations like cost estimation, irrelevant for distributed planning. Skipping the standard_planner has significant performance gains for OLTP workloads. By focusing on "shard-reachable" queries, the Fast Path Router Planner is able to bypass the need for more computationally expensive planning processes, thereby accelerating query execution.

PG16 commit that broke the planner hook

Rework query relation permission checking

alvherre committed on Dec 6, 2022 (Verified)

Currently, information about the permissions to be checked on relations mentioned in a query is stored in their range table entries. So the executor must scan the entire range table looking for relations that need to have permissions checked. This can make the permission checking part of the executor initialization needlessly expensive when many inheritance children are present in the range range. While the permissions need not be checked on the individual child relations, the executor still must visit every range table entry to filter them out.

This commit moves the permission checking information out of the range table entries into a new plan node called RTEPermissionInfo. Every top-level (inheritance "root") RTE_RELATION entry in the range table gets one and a list of those is maintained alongside the range table. This new list is initialized by the parser when initializing the range table. The rewriter can add more entries to it as rules/views are expanded. Finally, the planner combines the lists of the individual subqueries into one flat list that is passed to the executor for checking.

To make it quick to find the RTEPermissionInfo entry belonging to a given relation, RangeTblEntry gets a new Index field 'perminfoindex' that stores the corresponding RTEPermissionInfo's index in the query's list of the latter.

 $\mbox{ExecutorCheckPerms}\xspace$ has gained another List * argument; the signature is now:

bool ereport_on_violation); The first argument is no longer used by any in-core uses of the hook, but we leave it in place because there may be other implementations that do. Implementations should likely scan the rtePermInfos list to determine which operations to allow or deny.

Author: Amit Langote <amitlangote09@gmail.com> Discussion: https://postgr.es/m/CA+HiwqGjJDmUhDSfv-U2qhKJjt9ST7Xh9JXC_irs/ Currently, information about the permissions to be checked on relations mentioned in a query is stored in their range table entries. So the executor must scan the entire range table looking for relations that need to have permissions checked. This can make the permission checking part of the executor initialization needlessly expensive when many inheritance children are present in the range range. While the permissions need not be checked on the individual child relations, the executor still must visit every range table entry to filter them out.

table. The rewriter can add more entries to it as rules/views are expanded. Finally, the planner combines the lists of the individual subqueries into one flat list that is passed to the executor for checking.

To make it quick to find the RTEPermissionInfo entry belonging to a given relation, RangeTblEntry gets a new Index field 'perminfoindex' that stores the corresponding RTEPermissionInfo's index in the query's list of the latter.

New entry in PlannedStmt struct

~	✓ src/include/nodes/plannodes.h 🖸 💠				
	@@	-75,6 +7	75,9 @@ typed	lef struct PlannedStmt	:
75	75				
76	76		List	<pre>*rtable;</pre>	<pre>/* list of RangeTblEntry nodes */</pre>
77	77				
	78	+	List	<pre>*permInfos;</pre>	<pre>/* list of RTEPermissionInfo nodes for rtable</pre>
	79	+			* entries needing one */
	80	+			
78	81 /* rtable indexes of target relations for INSERT/UPDATE/DELETE/MERGE */				
79	82		List	<pre>*resultRelations;</pre>	/* integer list of RT indexes, or NIL */
80	83				
·					

New entry in RangeTblEntry struct

/include/nodes/parsenodes.h 🖸 🏛					
973	*	security	securityQuals is a list of security barrier quals (boolean expressions),		
974	*	to be te	to be tested in the listed order before returning a row from the		
975	*	relation	n. It is always NIL in parse	r output. Entries are added by the	
00	-1054,11	+1025,16 @@ <mark>t</mark> y	<pre>ypedef struct RangeTblEntry</pre>		
1025		* current	t query; this happens if a DO	ALSO rule simply scans the original	
1026		* target table. We leave such RTEs with their original lockmode so as to			
1027		* avoid getting an additional, lesser lock.			
1028	+	*			
1029	+	* perminf	Foindex is 1-based index of t	he RTEPermissionInfo belonging to	
1030	+	* this R1	TE in the containing struct's	list of same; 0 if permissions need	
1031	+	* not be	checked for this RTE.		
1032		*/			
1033		Oid	relid;	/* OID of the relation */	
1034		char	relkind;	/* relation kind (see pg_class.relkind) */	
1035		int	rellockmode;	/* lock level that query requires on the rel */	
1036		struct Tab	<pre>oleSampleClause *tablesample;</pre>	/* sampling info, or NULL */	
1037	+	Index	perminfoindex;		
1038					

INSERT failure in a distributed table

CREATE TABLE test_table (id int primary key, name text); INSERT INTO test_table VALUES (1, 'beana'); SELECT create_distributed_table('test_table', 'y'); ## Citus signature INSERT INTO test_table VALUES (2, 'erida'); ERROR: invalid perminfoindex 1 in RTE with relid 25395

What happened? test_table has the perminfoindex entry as 1 A PlannedStmt struct somewhere missing permInfos list

One-line fix in the fast path planner

PG16 compatibility - Rework PlannedStmt and Query's Permission Info							
Merged naisila merged 4 commits into main from naisila/pg16_part3 [] on Aug 9, 2023							
□ Conversation 27 -O- Commits 4 [-] Checks 28 E Files changed 13							
E Changes from all commits → File filter → Conversations → 🔯 →							
> 💠 5 ====== src/backend/distributed/planner/deparse_shard_query.c 📮							
> 💠 44 💵 src/backend/distributed/planner/distributed_planner.c 🖸							
✓ ♣ 3 ■■■ src/backend/distributed/planner/fast_path_router_planner.c □							
@@ -136,6 +136,9 @@ GeneratePlaceHolderPlannedStmt(Query *parse)							
<pre>136 136 result->stmt_len = parse->stmt_len;</pre>							
13/ 13/ 138 138 result->rtable = convObject(narse->rtable):							
139 + #if PG_VERSION_NUM >= PG_VERSION_16							
<pre>140 + result->permInfos = copyObject(parse->rteperminfos);</pre>							
141 + #endif							
139 142 result->planTree = (Plan *) plan;							
140 143 result->hasReturning = (parse->returningList != NIL);							
+							

Process of committing into the Citus repo

- 1. Find the relevant PG commit breaking the current logic <u>Rework query relation permission checking · postgres/postgres@a61b1f7 (github.com)</u>
- 2. Fix the logic in Citus and put a reference to the PG commit in the commit description

<u>PG16 compatibility - Rework PlannedStmt and Query's Permission Info (... ·</u> <u>citusdata/citus@b36c431 (github.com)</u>

descr: This commit is in the series of PG16 compatibility commits. It handles the Permission Info changes in PG16. See below:

```
…
We had crashes because perminfoindexes were not updated in the finalized
planned statement after distributed planner hook.
```

So, basically, everywhere we set a query's or planned statement's rtable

entry, we need to set the rteperminfos/permInfos accordingly.

Relevant PG commit:

```
a61b1f74823c9c4f79c95226a461f1e7a367764b
```

2 - Extension sanity

- Q: How to find all the broken pieces?
 A: Hopefully you have a nice and thorough test suite
- Q: How to keep track of everything?
 A: GitHub issues are a nice way

	It's still less than 9MB. TopMemoryContext simply has more children.			
s issue on capsulates what remains to be fixed in #6952	Fix ERROR: duplicate key value violates unique constraint in columnar_write_concurrency_index			
Check the tests in CL in the linked DD in order to see the event error which I point to with	Extra DEBUG: pathlist hook for columnar table am, Seen in drop_column_partitioned_table			
seen in" labels. est-16_check-multi 12/175 failing	☑ Not getting expected ERROR: correlated subqueries are not supported when the FROM clause contains a CTE			
	<pre>subquery , Seen in multi_subquery_in_where_reference_clause</pre>			
	Fix ERROR: relation "rule_table_1" cannot have ON SELECT rules, Seen in undistribute_table			
test-16_check-multi-1 9/210 failing	this fails on plain PG16 whereas it succeeds in plain PG15, so not related to Citus			
st-16_check-columnar 3/42 failing st-16_check-isolation 2/93 failing	Fix ERROR: "rule_table_1" is not a view, Seen in undistribute_table			
	this fails on plain PG16 whereas it succeeds in plain PG15, so not related to Citus			
test-16 check-mx 2/68 failing	Not getting expected ERROR: cannot alter table because an extension depends on it, Seen in undistribute_table			
tost-16 check-operations 1/16 failing	Different pa_compare_tables output, seen in merge			
	Fix ERROR: EXPLAIN ANALYZE is currently not supported for MERGE INTO commands with repartitioning, seen in			
test-16_check-vanilla 4/215 failing	merge			

Max mem usage changed and it's no longer less than 8MB, seen in columnar memory

Supporting a New PostgreSQL Version in Your Extension - A Citus Case Study

2 - Extension sanity

If possible, in terms of engineering resources, you can be *even more proactive*

Build and run tests of your extension regularly with REL_XX_STABLE branch of PostgreSQL

- 1. Fix build issues instantly,
- 2. Fix test issues instantly, or document them for later

Resources to track PostgreSQL XX's improvements/additions.

- Official release notes
- Feature matrix (which features added in which version)
- "Waiting for PGXX" blog <u>www.depesz.com</u>
- pgPedia notes

Two types of improvements/features

- 1. Simply work with your extension
- 2. Need development in your extension

Stuff that just work with Citus (the majority do!):

- Shards are regular Postgres tables, and queries are sent to shards as regular SQL commands. Any improvement on these are reflected on distributed tables such as performance, index/constraint improvements etc.
- Citus does not interfere with replication, checkpointing, vacuum, logging, monitoring, psql, fdw, contrib modules and many other things. Any improvement on these areas is also reflected.

Stuff that need development/testing to work with Citus:

When the SQL interface changes, Citus needs to learn how to send it properly to the worker nodes.

- Syntax on a command is expanded
- New command is introduced
- New functions /data types added

Decide what to do based on your resources!

- 1. Extend the codebase to support new stuff
- 2. Extend testing for new features that work with your extension, but might need maintenance for future changes
- 3. Print meaningful error messages for unsupported stuff

In Citus, we tracked these with yet another GitHub issue



Examples with PG16

1. Extend the codebase to support new stuff



Examples with PG16

2. Extend testing for new features that work with your extension, but might need maintenance for future changes

Add regression tests or just test locally

Most of PG16's new additions simply work with Citus. However, we add tests for some of them to ensure consistency and maintainability for the future. For some other additions, testing locally is sufficient.

- JSON_ARRAYAGG and JSON_OBJECTAGG postgres/postgres@ 7081ac4
 - Add tests with JSON_ARRAYAGG and JSON_OBJECTAGG aggregates #7186

Publications with schema and table of the same schema <u>postgres/postgres@ 13a185f</u>
 Add tests with publications with schema and table of the same schema #7184

- random_normal() postgres/postgres@ 38d8176
 - PG16 Add tests with random_normal #7183
- CREATE DATABASE (rules = ...) postgres/postgres@ 30a53b7
 Works with our partially supported CREATE DATABASE for distributed databases
 PG16 Add tests for createdb with ICU RULES option #7161

Examples with PG16

3. Print meaningful error messages for unsupported stuff

Meaningful error messages for currently unsupported features

For now, we will not provide support for the following, but we will print error messages with possible hints/workarounds for the user:

- GRANT ... WITH INHERIT postgres/postgres@ e3ce2de
 - PG16 Don't propagate GRANT ROLE with INHERIT/SET option #7190
- GRANT ... WITH SET postgres/postgres@ 3d14e17
 - PG16 Don't propagate GRANT ROLE with INHERIT/SET option #7190
- Batch insertion during COPY into a foreign table postgres/postgres@ 97da482 COPY FROM is already not supported for Citus foreign tables
 - Se Adds test for COPY FROM failure in Citus foreign tables #7160
- ALTER TABLE ... SET STORAGE DEFAULT <u>postgres/postgres@ b9424d0</u>
 Already changing storage is not supported and errors out, adding some tests
 Add tests for CREATE/ALTER TABLE .. STORAGE in PG16 #7140
- CREATE STATISTICS without a user-specified name postgres/postgres@ 624aa2a
 - PG16 Throw meaningful error for stats without a name on Citus tables #7136

PG17 progress on Citus

- Successful compilation changes are merged.
- Extension sanity is in progress
 - <u>PG17Beta2 Support Regression tests sanity · Issue #7653 ·</u> <u>citusdata/citus (github.com)</u>
- Implementing new features not started yet
 - Set to track in <u>PG17.0 Support SQL changes, new features · Issue</u> <u>#7708 · citusdata/citus (github.com)</u>
- Expected to add PG17 support on Citus by the end of 2024

Revisiting Strategy

General: Follow the PG release schedule

- **1.** Successful compilation
- 2. Extension sanity
 - Make sure everything works as before use your test suite!
- 3. PG new features integration
 - Enhance your extension with PG's newly added features/SQL changes

Thank you for your attention!

Q&A

Feedback QR:



Supporting a New PostgreSQL Version in Your Extension - A Citus Case Study



Meet our Postgres team at **PGConf EU** 2024





























0



Got 3 minutes? We'd love your input on some of our Postgres work





Get your FREE socks @ Microsoft booth 0



Have you listened to TalkingPostgres.com?





Save the date June 10-12, 2025

POSETTE: An Event for Postgres

Now in it's 4th year!

A free & virtual developer event

Subscribe to news \rightarrow aka.ms/posette-subscribe

